

REMARKS

In the Office Action of February 9, 2006, claims 7, 9 and 10 were objected to because of certain informalities. Claims 1-13 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 21 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as indefinite.

The informalities have been corrected and claim 21 has been revised to recite “the bondwires” instead of “they.” As a result, the claims are now believed to be definite.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Examiner has objected that the specification never discloses a plurality of lead fingers electrically connected to the conductive sheet. Paragraph 0028 states that the conductive sheet 340 is electrically connected to the ground lead 371. Moreover, the language “at least one of the lead fingers” being connected to the conductive sheet, was in the claims as filed. Accordingly, paragraph 0027 has been amended to recite that “at least finger 371 is a ground lead” and thereby provide a written statement in the specification that there may be more than one ground lead. As a result of this amendment, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-13 and 21 comply with the written description requirement.

No additional fee is believed to be due for filing this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge such fee to Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0310.

If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite prosecution of this application, she/he is invited to call applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Date: May 2, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

 24,615

Francis E. Morris (Reg. No.)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178

(212) 309-6632